

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/749,046 | 12/29/2003 | William J. Boyle | ACS 66147 (1738C) | 7407 | |
| 24201 FULWIDER PA | 7590 04/27/2007 ATTON LLP | EXAMINER | | | |
| HOWARD HUGHES CENTER 6060 CENTER DRIVE, TENTH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90045 | | | MACNEILL, ELIZABETH | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 3767 | | |
| | • | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | . 04/27/2007 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| _ | |
|-----|--|
| | |
| 121 | |

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|-----------------------|--------------|
| 10/749,046 | BOYLE ET AL. |
| Examiner | Art Unit |
| Elizabeth R. MacNeill | 3767 |

| | Elizabeth R. MacNeill | 3/6/ | |
|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appear | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>13 April 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI | LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL | LOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: | ving replies: (1) an amendment, aftitice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (| idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl | ice, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI | g date of the final rejection | on. |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. ension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offic te of the final rejection, of | ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed, |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of th | ns of the date of e appeal. Since |
| 3. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t | out prior to the date of filing a brief | will not be entered by | 0001100 |
| (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | nsideration and/or search (see NO | | ecause |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or | ter form for appeal by materially re | | the issues for |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | corresponding number of finally rej | ected claims. | • |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | 21 See attached Natice of Non Co | mpliant Amandment | (DTOL 224) |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | impilant Amendment (| (PTOL-324). |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all | | timely filed amendme | nt canceling the |
| non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) the how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | ☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wi vided below or appended. | II be entered and an e | explanation of |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: 94-111. | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | d sufficient reasons why the affidat | vit or other evidence is | necessary and |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome all rejections under appe | al and/or appellant fai | ls to provide a |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation | n of the status of the claims after e | ntry is below or attach | ned. |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but | t does NOT place the application i | n condition for allowar | nce because: |
| <u>.</u> | | | |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☒ Other: See Continuation Sheet. | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). | | |
| | ſ | 100 A1 1/11 | 1/1/ |
| KEVIN C. SIRM SUPERVISORY PATENT | | Elizabeth MacNeill | ~VW |
| SUPERVISORY PATEN | CANVIINEN | 4/15 | 2/27 |
| Merin C. Ja | mon | • (/ / | 10 7 |

Continuation of 13. Other: The amendments raise new issues, such as the "central region and filter edge ade made from a filter membrane" The structure of the central region is disclosed in the Gelbfish patent as described in the office action mailed 2/16/07.